arXiv:0903.1928v2 [math.RT] 24 Sep 2009

ON THE CARDINALITIES OF KRONECKER QUIVER GRASSMANNIANS

CSABA SZÁNTÓ

Abstract. We deduce using the Ringel-Hall algebra approach explicit formulas for the cardinalities of some Grassmannians over a finite field associated to the Kronecker quiver. We realize in this way a quantification of the formulas obtained by Caldero and Zelevinsky for the Euler characteristics of these Grassmannians. We also present a recursive algorithm for computing the cardinality of every Kronecker quiver Grassmannian over a finite field.

Key words. Kronecker algebra, Hall algebra, Grassmannian

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. 16G20.

Introduction

Let K be the Kronecker quiver $K: \ 1 \underset{\beta}{\overset{\alpha}{\leftarrow\!\!\leftarrow}} 2$, kK be the Kronecker algebra over the finite field $k = \mathbb{F}_q$ with q elements and mod-kK the category of its finite dimensional right modules (called Kronecker modules). We consider the rational Ringel-Hall algebra $\mathcal{H}(kK)$ of the Kronecker algebra, with a $\mathbb{Q}$-basis formed by the isomorphism classes $[M]$ from mod-kK and multiplication

$$[N_1][N_2] = \sum_{[M]} F^M_{N_1N_2}[M].$$

The structure constants $F^M_{N_1N_2} = |\{M \supseteq U | \ U \cong N_2, \ M/U \cong N_1\}|$ are called Ringel-Hall numbers.

For any module $M \in$ mod-kK, and any $\underline{e} = (a, b)$ in $\mathbb{N}^2$, we denote by $Gr_{\underline{e}}(M)_{\mathbb{F}_q}$ the Grassmannian of submodules of M with dimension vector $\underline{e}$:

$$Gr_{\underline{e}}(M)_{\mathbb{F}_q} = \{N \in \text{mod-}kK | N \leq M, \underline{dim}(N) = \underline{e}\}.$$

Then we have that

$$|Gr_{\underline{e}}(M)_{\mathbb{F}_q}| = \sum_{\substack{[X],[Y] \\ \underline{dim}Y = \underline{e}}} F^M_{XY}$$

The Grassmannian cardinalities above play an important role in the theory of cluster algebras. In [3] Caldero and Reineke show for affine quivers that these cardinal numbers are given by integral polynomials in q with positive coefficients. So in our case there is an integral polynomial $p_{\underline{e},M}$ such that $|Gr_{\underline{e}}(M)_{\mathbb{F}_q}| = p_{\underline{e},M}(q)$. Moreover the Euler characteristics $\chi(Gr_{\underline{e}}(M)_{\mathbb{C}}) = p_{\underline{e},M}(1)$.

In [4] Caldero and Zelevinsky describe explicit combinatorial formulas for the Euler characteristics $\chi(Gr_{\underline{e}}(M)_{\mathbb{C}}) = p_{\underline{e},M}(1)$ whenever M is indecomposable.

Using specific recursions obtained by the Ringel-Hall algebra approach and the use of reflection functors we deduce in this paper explicit combinatorial formulas for the cardinalities (polynomials) $|Gr_{\underline{e}}(M)_{\mathbb{F}_q}| = p_{\underline{e},M}(q)$ whenever M is indecomposable. We obtain in this way a quantification of the formulas by Caldero and Zelevinsky. Moreover our recursions provide a

recursive algorithm for computing the cardinality of every Kronecker quiver Grassmannian over a finite field.

1. Facts on Kronecker modules and Ringel-Hall algebras

The indecomposables in mod-kK are divided into three families: the preprojectives, the regulars and the preinjectives (see [1],[2],[8]).

The preprojective (respectively preinjective) indecomposable modules are up to isomorphism uniquely determined by their dimension vectors. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we will denote by P_n (respectively with I_n) the indecomposable preprojective module of dimension $(n+1, n)$ (respectively the indecomposable preinjective module of dimension $(n, n+1)$). So P_0, P_1 are the projective indecomposable modules ($P_0 = S_1$ being simple) and I_0, I_1 the injective indecomposable modules ($I_0 = S_2$ being simple).

The regular indecomposables (up to isomorphism) are $R_p(t)$ for $t \geq 1$ and $p \in \mathbb{P}^1_k$ of dimension vector (td_p, td_p) (d_p standing for the degree of the point p). The module $R_p(t)$ has regular length t and regular socle the regular simple $R_p(1)$. Suppose that $R_p(0) = 0$. Note that $R_p(t)$ is regular uniserial meaning that the only regular submodule series of $R_p(t)$ is $0 \subset R_p(1) \subset ... \subset R_p(t)$.

We will denote by $R_p(\lambda)$ (where λ is a partition) the module $\oplus_i R_p(\lambda_i)$ and by P (respectively I,R) a module with all its indecomposable components preprojective (respectively preinjective, regular).

Denote by $cM = M \oplus ... \oplus M$ c-times.

The following lemma is well known.

Lemma 1.1. a) $\mathrm{Hom}(R, P) = \mathrm{Hom}(I, P) = \mathrm{Hom}(I, R) = \mathrm{Ext}^1(P, R) = \mathrm{Ext}^1(P, I) = \mathrm{Ext}^1(R, I) = 0$.

b) *There are no nontrivial morphisms and extensions between regular modules from different tubes, i.e. if* $p \neq p'$, *then* $\mathrm{Hom}(R_p(t), R_{p'}(t')) = \mathrm{Ext}^1(R_p(t), R_{p'}(t')) = 0$.

c) *For* $n \leq m$, *we have* $\dim_k \mathrm{Hom}(P_n, P_m) = m - n + 1$ *and* $\mathrm{Ext}^1(P_n, P_m) = 0$*; otherwise* $\mathrm{Hom}(P_n, P_m) = 0$ *and* $\dim_k \mathrm{Ext}^1(P_n, P_m) = n - m - 1$. *In particular* $\mathrm{End}(P_n) \cong k$ *and* $\mathrm{Ext}^1(P_n, P_n) = 0$.

d) *For* $n \geq m$, *we have* $\dim_k \mathrm{Hom}(I_n, I_m) = n - m + 1$ *and* $\mathrm{Ext}^1(I_n, I_m) = 0$*; otherwise* $\mathrm{Hom}(I_n, I_m) = 0$ *and* $\dim_k \mathrm{Ext}^1(I_n, I_m) = m - n - 1$. *In particular* $\mathrm{End}(I_n) \cong k$ *and* $\mathrm{Ext}^1(I_n, I_n) = 0$.

e) $\dim_k \mathrm{Hom}(P_n, I_m) = n + m$ *and* $\dim_k \mathrm{Ext}^1(I_m, P_n) = m + n + 2$.

f) $\dim_k \mathrm{Hom}(P_n, R_p(t)) = \dim_k \mathrm{Hom}(R_p(t), I_n) = d_p t$ *and* $\dim_k \mathrm{Ext}^1(R_p(t), P_n) = \dim_k \mathrm{Ext}^1(I_n, R_p(t)) = d_p t$.

g) $\dim_k \mathrm{Hom}(R_p(t_1), R_p(t_2)) = \dim_k \mathrm{Ext}^1(R_p(t_1), R_p(t_2)) = d_p \min(t_1, t_2)$.

Let now $\tilde{K}$ be the quiver obtained by reversing the arrows in K. The category mod-$k\tilde{K}$ can be identified with the category mod-kK after a formal relabeling of the vertices. In general we will denote by $\neg M \in$ mod-$k\tilde{K}$ (respectively by $\neg M \in$ mod-kK) the relabeled version of $M \in$ mod-kK (respectively of $M \in$ mod-$k\tilde{K}$). So we have $\neg\neg M = M$.

For $i = 1, 2$ denote by mod-$kK\langle i\rangle$ (respectively by mod-$k\tilde{K}\langle i\rangle$) the full subcategory of modules not containing the simple S_i (respectively the simple $\tilde{S}_i$) as a direct summand. Notice that using the formal relabeling mentioned above mod-$k\tilde{K}\langle 1\rangle$ can be identified with mod-$kK\langle 2\rangle$ and mod-$k\tilde{K}\langle 2\rangle$ with mod-$kK\langle 1\rangle$. Since the vertex 1 is a sink in the quiver K and a source in $\tilde{K}$

the restriction of the corresponding reflection functors to the above mentioned full subcategories will give us the following inverse pair of equivalences

$$\neg R_1^+ : \text{mod-}kK\langle 1\rangle \to \text{mod-}kK\langle 2\rangle \text{ and } R_1^- \neg : \text{mod-}kK\langle 2\rangle \to \text{mod-}kK\langle 1\rangle.$$

We refer to [5] for all notions and properties related to the reflection functors. Notice that we have

$$\neg R_1^+(P_n) = P_{n-1} \text{ for } n \in \mathbb{N}^*, \neg R_1^+(I_n) = I_{n+1} \text{ for } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Moreover for each d there is a permutation σ_d of the set $\{p \in \mathbb{P}_k^1 | d_p = d\}$ such that for each p with $d_p = d$ we have

$$\neg R_1^+(R_p(t)) = R_{\sigma_d(p)}(t) \text{ for all } t \in \mathbb{N}^*.$$

Remark 1.2. Notice that if $M \in \text{mod-}kK\langle 1\rangle$ with $\underline{dim} M = (m, n)$, then $m - n$ is at most n and $\underline{dim}(\neg R_1^+(M)) = (m - (m - n), n - (m - n)) = (n, 2n - m)$. Also if $M \in \text{mod-}kK\langle 2\rangle$ with $\underline{dim} M = (m, n)$, then $n - m$ is at most m and $\underline{dim}(R_1^- \neg(M)) = (m + (m - n), n + (m - n)) = (2m - n, m)$.

Related with the Ringel-Hall algebra we will need the following properties (see [9]):

Lemma 1.3. *(Associativity of the Ringel-Hall multiplication)* $\sum_{[X]} F_{AB}^X F_{XC}^M = \sum_{[X]} F_{AX}^M F_{BC}^X$.

Lemma 1.4. *For* $N_1, N_2 \in \text{mod-}kK$ *with* $\text{Ext}^1(N_1, N_2) = 0$ *and* $\text{Hom}(N_2, N_1) = 0$ *we have* $[N_1][N_2] = [N_1 \oplus N_2]$.

Lemma 1.5. a) *If* M,N *and* L *are in* mod-$kK\langle 1\rangle$, *then* $F_{MN}^L = F_{\neg R_1^+(M)\neg R_1^+(N)}^{\neg R_1^+(L)}$.

b) *If* M,N *and* L *are in* mod-$kK\langle 2\rangle$, *then* $F_{MN}^L = F_{R_1^-\neg(M)R_1^-\neg(N)}^{R_1^-\neg(L)}$.

2. **Identities for Gaussian coefficients**

For $l, a \in \mathbb{Z}$, $l > 0$ we will denote by $G_a^l(q) = \frac{(q^a-1)\ldots(q^{a-l+1}-1)}{(q^l-1)\ldots(q-1)}$ the Gaussian (q-binomial) coefficients. By definition $G_a^0(q) = 1$ and $G_a^{-l}(q) = 0$. The following properties of the Gaussian coefficients are well known

Lemma 2.1. a) $G_a^l(q) = 0$ *for* $0 \leq a < l$. *Also* $G_a^l = G_a^{a-l}$ *for* $a, l \geq 0$.

b) *(Cross product) For all* $a, l, j \in \mathbb{Z}$ *we have* $G_a^l(q)G_l^j(q) = G_a^j(q)G_{a-j}^{l-j}(q)$.

c) *(q-Vandermonde) For all* $l, a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ *we have* $G_{a+b}^l(q) = \sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}} q^{j(a-l+j)} G_a^{l-j}(q)G_b^j(q) = \sum_{r\in\mathbb{Z}} q^{(l-r)(a-r)} G_a^r(q)G_b^{l-r}(q)$. *Notice that the sums are finite.*

Finally we will prove a q-analogue of the so called Nanjundiah identity (see [7])

Proposition 2.2. *For all* $m, p, \mu, \nu \in \mathbb{Z}$ *we have*

$$\sum_{r\in\mathbb{Z}} q^{(m-\mu+\nu-r)(p-r)} G_{m-\mu+\nu}^r(q)G_{p+\mu-\nu}^{p-r}(q)G_{\mu+r}^{m+p}(q) = G_\mu^m(q)G_\nu^p(q)$$

Proof. Denote by A the left expression and by B the right one.

One can immediately see that for $p < 0$ we have $A = B = 0$.

Applying 3 times Lemma 2.1. c) and 2 times Lemma 2.1. b) we have

$$A = \sum_{r\in\mathbb{Z}} q^{(m-\mu+\nu-r)(p-r)} G_{m-\mu+\nu}^r(q)G_{p+\mu-\nu}^{p-r}(q)G_{\mu+r}^{m+p}(q)$$

$$= \sum_r q^{(m-\mu+\nu-r)(p-r)} G^r_{m-\mu+\nu}(q) G^{p-r}_{p+\mu-\nu}(q) \sum_{s\in\mathbb{Z}} q^{s(\mu-m-p+s)} G^{m+p-s}_{\mu}(q) G^s_r(q)$$

$$= \sum_{r,s\in\mathbb{Z}} q^{s(\mu-m-p+s)} q^{(m-\mu+\nu-r)(p-r)} G^r_{m-\mu+\nu}(q) G^s_r(q) G^{p-r}_{p+\mu-\nu}(q) G^{m+p-s}_{\mu}(q)$$

$$= \sum_{r,s\in\mathbb{Z}} q^{s(\mu-m-p+s)} q^{(m-\mu+\nu-r)(p-r)} G^s_{m-\mu+\nu}(q) G^{r-s}_{m-\mu+\nu-s}(q) G^{p-r}_{p+\mu-\nu}(q) G^{m+p-s}_{\mu}(q)$$

$$= \sum_{s\in\mathbb{Z}} q^{s(\mu-m-p+s)} G^s_{m-\mu+\nu}(q) G^{m+p-s}_{\mu}(q) \sum_{r\in\mathbb{Z}} q^{(m-\mu+\nu-r)(p-r)} G^{r-s}_{m-\mu+\nu-s}(q) G^{p-r}_{p+\mu-\nu}(q)$$

$$= \sum_{s\in\mathbb{Z}} q^{s(\mu-m-p+s)} G^s_{m-\mu+\nu}(q) G^{m+p-s}_{\mu}(q) \sum_{t\in\mathbb{Z}} q^{(m-\mu+\nu-s-t)(p-s-t)} G^t_{m-\mu+\nu-s}(q) G^{p-s-t}_{p+\mu-\nu}(q)$$

$$= \sum_{s\in\mathbb{Z}} q^{s(\mu-m-p+s)} G^s_{m-\mu+\nu}(q) G^{m+p-s}_{\mu}(q) G^{p-s}_{m+p-s}(q)$$

$$= \sum_{s\in\mathbb{Z}} q^{s(\mu-m-p+s)} G^s_{m-\mu+\nu}(q) G^{p-s}_{\mu}(q) G^{m}_{\mu-p+s}(q)$$

One can see from here that for $m < 0$ we have $A = 0$ and trivially also $B = 0$.
Consider now the case $m, p \geq 0$. Then using Lemma 2.1. a),b) notice that

$$A = \sum_{s\in\mathbb{Z}} q^{s(\mu-m-p+s)} G^s_{m-\mu+\nu}(q) G^{m+p-s}_{\mu}(q) G^{p-s}_{m+p-s}(q)$$

$$= \sum_{s=0}^{p} q^{s(\mu-m-p+s)} G^s_{m-\mu+\nu}(q) G^{m+p-s}_{\mu}(q) G^{p-s}_{m+p-s}(q)$$

$$= \sum_{s=0}^{p} q^{s(\mu-m-p+s)} G^s_{m-\mu+\nu}(q) G^{m+p-s}_{\mu}(q) G^{m}_{m+p-s}(q)$$

$$= \sum_{s\in\mathbb{Z}} q^{s(\mu-m-p+s)} G^s_{m-\mu+\nu}(q) G^{m+p-s}_{\mu}(q) G^{m}_{m+p-s}(q)$$

$$= \sum_{s\in\mathbb{Z}} q^{s(\mu-m-p+s)} G^s_{m-\mu+\nu}(q) G^{m}_{\mu}(q) G^{p-s}_{\mu-m}(q)$$

$$= G^m_{\mu}(q) \sum_{s\in\mathbb{Z}} q^{s(\mu-m-p+s)} G^s_{m-\mu+\nu}(q) G^{p-s}_{\mu-m}(q)$$

$$= G^m_{\mu}(q) G^p_{\nu}(q) = B$$

□

3. **The recursions**

Let $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$. We introduce the following notations.
For $M \in \text{mod-}kK$

$$A^M_{a,b} := |Gr_{(a,b)}(M)| = \sum_{\substack{[X],[Y] \\ \underline{dim}Y = (a,b)}} F^M_{XY}$$

For $M \in \text{mod-}kK\langle 1\rangle$

$$B^M_{a,b} := \sum_{\substack{[X],[Y] \\ \underline{dim}Y = (a,b) \\ X,Y \in \text{mod-}kK\langle 1\rangle}} F^M_{XY}$$

For $M \in \text{mod-}kK\langle 2\rangle$

$$C^M_{a,b} := \sum_{\substack{[X],[Y] \\ \underline{dim}Y=(a,b) \\ X,Y \in \text{mod-}kK\langle 2\rangle}} F^M_{XY}$$

The sums $A^M_{a,b}$, $B^M_{a,b}$, $C^M_{a,b}$ are considered to be 0 if they are empty. In particular they are 0 if $a<0$ or $b<0$. Also notice that if $\underline{dim}M=(m,n)$ then $A^M_{a,b}=B^M_{a,b}=C^M_{a,b}=0$ for $a>m$ or $b>n$.

Proposition 3.1. *Suppose up to isomorphism $M = sS_1 \oplus M' \oplus tS_2$ with $M' \in \text{mod-}kK\langle 1\rangle \cap \text{mod-}kK\langle 2\rangle$. Let $a,b \in \mathbb{Z}$, $l=a-b$ and $\underline{dim}M=(m,n)$.*

a) *We have that*

$$A^M_{a,b} = \sum_{c\in\mathbb{Z}} G^c_{m-a+c}(q) B^{M'\oplus tS_2}_{a-c,b} = \sum_{c\in\mathbb{Z}} G^c_{m-a+c}(q) C^{\neg R_1^+(M'\oplus tS_2)}_{a-l,b-l+c},$$

the sum being finite.

b) *We have that*

$$A^M_{a,b} = \sum_{d\in\mathbb{Z}} G^d_{b+d}(q) C^{sS_1\oplus M'}_{a,b+d} = \sum_{d\in\mathbb{Z}} G^d_{b+d}(q) B^{R_1^-\neg(sS_1\oplus M')}_{a+l-d,b+l},$$

the sum being finite.

Proof. a) If $b<0$ then trivially

$$A^M_{a,b} = \sum_{c\in\mathbb{Z}} G^c_{m-a+c}(q) B^{M'\oplus tS_2}_{a-c,b} = \sum_{c\in\mathbb{Z}} G^c_{m-a+c}(q) C^{\neg R_1^+(M'\oplus tS_2)}_{b,b-l+c} = 0.$$

If $a<0$ then $A^M_{a,b}=0$. The sum $\sum_{c\in\mathbb{Z}} G^c_{m-a+c}(q) B^{M'\oplus tS_2}_{a-c,b} = 0$ because for $c<0$ $G^c_{m-a+c}(q)=0$ and for $c\geq 0$ we have $a-c<0$ so $B^{M'\oplus tS_2}_{a-c,b}=0$. We also have $\sum_{c\in\mathbb{Z}} G^c_{m-a+c}(q) C^{\neg R_1^+(M'\oplus tS_2)}_{b,2b-a+c} = 0$ because for $c<0$ $G^c_{m-a+c}(q)=0$ and for $c\geq 0$ there is no $Y \in \text{mod-}kK\langle 2\rangle$ with dimension $(b, 2b-a+c)$ (see Remark 1.2.) so $C^{\neg R_1^+(M'\oplus tS_2)}_{a-l,b-l+c}=0$.

Consider now the case $a,b\geq 0$. Firstly notice that if $Y_c \in \text{mod-}kK\langle 1\rangle$ then by Lemma 1.1. and Lemma 1.4. we have $[cS_1][Y_c] = [cS_1 \oplus Y_c]$, so $F^Z_{cS_1Y_c}=1$ for $[Z]=[cS_1\oplus Y_c]$ and $F^Z_{cS_1Y_c}=0$ in all the other cases.

Using Lemma 1.3. we obtain:

$$A^M_{a,b} = \sum_{\substack{[X],[Y] \\ \underline{dim}Y=(a,b)}} F^M_{XY} = \sum_{c\geq 0} \sum_{\substack{[X],[Y_c] \\ \underline{dim}Y_c=(a-c,b) \\ Y_c\in\text{mod-}kK\langle 1\rangle}} F^M_{XcS_1\oplus Y_c}\cdot 1$$

$$= \sum_{c\geq 0} \sum_{\substack{[X],[Y_c],[Z] \\ \underline{dim}Y_c=(a-c,b) \\ Y_c\in\text{mod-}kK\langle 1\rangle}} F^M_{XZ}\cdot F^Z_{cS_1Y_c} = \sum_{c\geq 0} \sum_{\substack{[X],[Y_c],[Z] \\ \underline{dim}Y_c=(a-c,b) \\ Y_c\in\text{mod-}kK\langle 1\rangle}} F^Z_{X\ cS_1}\cdot F^M_{ZY_c}$$

$$= \sum_{c\geq 0} \sum_{\substack{[Y_c],[Z] \\ \underline{dim}Y_c=(a-c,b) \\ \underline{dim}Z=(m-a+c,n-b) \\ Y_c\in\text{mod-}kK\langle 1\rangle}} (\sum_{[X]} F^Z_{X\ cS_1})\cdot F^M_{ZY_c} = \sum_{c\geq 0} G^c_{m-a+c}(q) \sum_{\substack{[Y_c],[Z] \\ \underline{dim}Y_c=(a-c,b) \\ Y_c\in\text{mod-}kK\langle 1\rangle}} F^M_{ZY_c}$$

$$= \sum_{c\geq 0} G^c_{m-a+c}(q) \sum_{\substack{[Y_c],[Z'] \\ \underline{dim} Y_c = (a-c,b) \\ Y_c, Z' \in \text{mod-}kK\langle 1\rangle}} F^{M'\oplus tS_2}_{Z'Y_c} = \sum_{c\in\mathbb{Z}} G^c_{m-a+c}(q) B^{M'\oplus tS_2}_{a-c,b}$$

Here we have used the following: if $F^M_{ZY_c} = F^{sS_1\oplus M'\oplus tS_2}_{ZY_c} \neq 0$ then since $Y_c \in \text{mod-}kK\langle 1\rangle$ it follows that Y_c embeds only in $M'\oplus tS_2$ (see Lemma 1.1.), so $Z = sS_1 \oplus Z'$ with $0 \to Y_c \to M'\oplus tS_2 \to Z' \to 0$ exact, $Z' \in \text{mod-}kK\langle 1\rangle$ (because $M'\oplus tS_2$ does not project on S_1) and in this way $F^{sS_1\oplus M'\oplus tS_2}_{ZY_c} = F^{M'\oplus tS_2}_{Z'Y_c}$.

To prove the other identity we will use reflection functors. Using Remark 1.2. and Lemma 1.5. we have

$$A^M_{a,b} = \sum_{c\geq 0} G^c_{m-a+c}(q) \sum_{\substack{[Y_c],[Z'] \\ \underline{dim} Y_c = (a-c,b) \\ Y_c, Z' \in \text{mod-}kK\langle 1\rangle}} F^{M'\oplus tS_2}_{Z'Y_c}$$

$$= \sum_{c\geq 0} G^c_{m-a+c}(q) \sum_{\substack{[Y_c],[Z'] \\ \underline{dim} Y_c = (a-c,b) \\ Y_c, Z' \in \text{mod-}kK\langle 1\rangle}} F^{\neg R^+_1(M'\oplus tS_2)}_{\neg R^+_1(Z')\neg R^+_1(Y_c)}$$

$$= \sum_{c\geq 0} G^c_{m-a+c}(q) \sum_{\substack{[Y'_c],[Z''] \\ \underline{dim} Y'_c = (a-l,b-l+c) \\ Y'_c, Z'' \in \text{mod-}kK\langle 2\rangle}} F^{\neg R^+_1(M'\oplus tS_2)}_{Z''Y'_c}$$

$$= \sum_{c\in\mathbb{Z}} G^c_{m-a+c}(q) C^{\neg R^+_1(M'\oplus tS_2)}_{a-l,b-l+c}.$$

b) dual of a). □

We can state now the recursion theorem for the numbers $A^M_{a,b}$.

Theorem 3.2. *Suppose up to isomorphism $M = sS_1 \oplus M' \oplus tS_2$ with $M' \in \text{mod-}kK\langle 1\rangle \cap \text{mod-}kK\langle 2\rangle$. Let $a,b \in \mathbb{Z}$, $l = a-b$ and $\underline{dim} M = (m,n)$. We have the following recursions*

a)

$$A^M_{a,b} = \sum_{c\in\mathbb{Z}} q^{c(b-l+c)} G^c_{m-2b}(q) A^{\neg R^+_1(M'\oplus tS_2)}_{a-l,b-l+c},$$

the sum being finite.

b)

$$A^M_{a,b} = \sum_{d\in\mathbb{Z}} q^{d(2m-n+t-a-l+d)} G^d_{2a-2m+n-t}(q) A^{R^-_1\neg(sS_1\oplus M')}_{a+l-d,b+l},$$

the sum being finite.

Proof. a) Using the previous proposition and the fact that $\neg R^+_1(M'\oplus tS_2) \in \text{mod-}kK\langle 2\rangle$ we have

$$A^M_{a,b} = \sum_{c\in\mathbb{Z}} G^c_{m-a+c}(q) C^{\neg R^+_1(M'\oplus tS_2)}_{a-l,b-l+c},$$

$$A^{\neg R^+_1(M'\oplus tS_2)}_{a-l,b-l+c} = \sum_{d\in\mathbb{Z}} G^d_{b-l+c+d}(q) C^{\neg R^+_1(M'\oplus tS_2)}_{a-l,b-l+c+d}.$$

Let $u = c+d$. Using Lemma 2.1. c)

$$\sum_{c\in\mathbb{Z}} q^{c(b-l+c)} G^c_{m-2b}(q) A^{\neg R^+_1(M'\oplus tS_2)}_{a-l,b-l+c} = \sum_{c,d\in\mathbb{Z}} q^{c(b-l+c)} G^c_{m-2b}(q) G^d_{b-l+c+d}(q) C^{\neg R^+_1(M'\oplus tS_2)}_{a-l,b-l+c+d}$$

$$= \sum_{u\in\mathbb{Z}}(\sum_{c\in\mathbb{Z}} q^{c(b-l+c)}G^c_{m-2b}(q)G^{u-c}_{b-l+u}(q))C^{\neg R_1^+(M'\oplus tS_2)}_{a-l,b-l+u} = \sum_{u\in\mathbb{Z}} G^u_{m-a+u}(q)C^{\neg R_1^+(M'\oplus tS_2)}_{a-l,b-l+u} = A^M_{a,b}.$$

b)Using the previous proposition, Remark 1.2. and the fact that $R_1^-\neg(sS_1\oplus M')\in \text{mod-}kK\langle 1\rangle$ we have

$$A^M_{a,b} = \sum_{d\in\mathbb{Z}} G^d_{b+d}(q)B^{R_1^-\neg(sS_1\oplus M')}_{a+l-d,b+l},$$

$$A^{R_1^-\neg(sS_1\oplus M')}_{a+l-d,b+l} = \sum_{c\in\mathbb{Z}} G^c_{2m-n+t-a-l+d+c}(q)B^{R_1^-\neg(sS_1\oplus M')}_{a+l-d-c,b+l}.$$

Let $u = c+d$. Using Lemma 2.1. c)

$$\sum_{d\in\mathbb{Z}} q^{d(2m-n+t-a-l+d)}G^d_{2a-2m+n-t}(q)A^{R_1^-\neg(sS_1\oplus M')}_{a+l-d,b+l}$$

$$= \sum_{c,d\in\mathbb{Z}} q^{d(2m-n+t-a-l+d)}G^d_{2a-2m+n-t}(q)G^c_{2m-n+t-a-l+d+c}(q)B^{R_1^-\neg(sS_1\oplus M')}_{a+l-d-c,b+l}$$

$$= \sum_{u\in\mathbb{Z}}(\sum_{d\in\mathbb{Z}} q^{d(2m-n+t-a-l+d)}G^d_{2a-2m+n-t}(q)G^{u-d}_{2m-n+t-a-l+u}(q))B^{R_1^-\neg(sS_1\oplus M')}_{a+l-u,b+l}$$

$$= \sum_{u\in\mathbb{Z}} G^u_{b+u}(q)B^{R_1^-\neg(sS_1\oplus M')}_{a+l-u,b+l} = A^M_{a,b}.$$

□

4. Formulas for the cardinalities $A^M_{a,b} = |Gr_{(a,b)}(M)|$ with M indecomposable

Using the recurrences from the previous section we will provide closed formulas for $A^{P_n}_{a,b}, A^{I_n}_{a,b}$ (with $n\in\mathbb{N}$, $a,b\in\mathbb{Z}$) and $A^{R_p(t)}_{a,b}$ (with $t\in\mathbb{N}^*$, $a,b\in\mathbb{Z}$ and $p\in\mathbb{P}^1_k$ of degree 1).

Theorem 4.1. $A^{P_n}_{a,b} = |Gr_{(a,b)}(P_n)| = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } a<0 \text{ or } b<0 \\ 1 & \text{for } a=b=0 \\ G^{n+1-a}_{n+1-b}(q)G^{a-b-1}_{a-1}(q) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$

Remark 4.2. Using the definitions and Lemma 2.1. a) notice that $G^{n+1-a}_{n+1-b}(q)G^{a-b-1}_{a-1} = 0$ for $0<a\leq b$, for $a>n+1$, for $b>n$, for $a>0$ and $b<0$.

Proof. Induction on n. For $n=0$ we have that $A^{P_0}_{a,b}=1$ when $(a,b)=(1,0)$ or $(a,b)=(0,0)$ and 0 otherwise so using the previous remark we can see that the formula is true.

Suppose now $n\geq 1$. Then trivially $A^{P_n}_{a,b}=0$ for $a<0$ or $b<0$ and $A^{P_n}_{0,0}=1$ so we only need to look at the case $a,b\geq 0$, $a^2+b^2\neq 0$. Using Theorem 3.2. a) we obtain the recursion

$$A^{P_n}_{a,b} = \sum_{c\in\mathbb{Z}} q^{c(b-l+c)}G^c_{n-2b+1}(q)A^{P_{n-1}}_{a-l,b-l+c},$$

the sum being finite.

Using Remark 4.2. and the induction hypothesis notice that if $b>0$ (and $a\geq 0$) then

$$A^{P_{n-1}}_{a-l,b-l+c} = A^{P_{n-1}}_{b,2b-a+c} = G^{n-b}_{n-2b+a-c}(q)G^{a-b-c-1}_{b-1}(q)$$

so denoting by $u=a-b-c-1$, using the previous recursion and Proposition 2.2. with the entries $p=a-b-1$, $m=n+1-a$, $\mu=n+1-b$ and $\nu=a-1$

$$A^{P_n}_{a,b} = \sum_{c\in\mathbb{Z}} q^{c(b-l+c)}G^c_{n-2b+1}(q)A^{P_{n-1}}_{a-l,b-l+c}$$

$$= \sum_{c\in\mathbb{Z}} q^{c(2b-a+c)} G^c_{n-2b+1}(q) G^{n-b}_{n-2b+a-c}(q) G^{a-b-c-1}_{b-1}(q)$$

$$= \sum_{u\in\mathbb{Z}} q^{(a-b-u-1)(b-u-1)} G^{a-b-u-1}_{n-2b+1}(q) G^{n-b}_{n-b+1+u}(q) G^u_{b-1}(q) = G^{n+1-a}_{n+1-b}(q) G^{a-b-1}_{a-1}(q)$$

If now $b = 0$ (and $n+1 \geq a > 0$) then trivially

$$A^{P_n}_{a,b} = \sum_{[X]} F^{P_n}_{X\, aS_1} = G^a_{n+1}(q) = G^{n+1-a}_{n+1-0}(q) G^{a-0-1}_{a-1}(q).$$

If $b = 0$ and $a > n+1$ then trivially $A^{P_n}_{a,b} = 0$ (see Remark 4.2.). □

Theorem 4.3. $A^{I_n}_{a,b} = |Gr_{(a,b)}(I_n)| = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } a > n \text{ or } b > n+1 \\ 1 & \text{for } a = n,\ b = n+1 \\ G^{a-b}_{n-b}(q) G^b_{a+1}(q) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$

Remark 4.4. Using the definitions and Lemma 2.1. a) notice that $G^{a-b}_{n-b}(q) G^b_{a+1} = 0$ for $a < b$, for $a < 0$, for $b < 0$, for $a > n$ and $b < n+1$.

Proof. Induction on n. For $n = 0$ we have that $A^{I_0}_{a,b} = 1$ when $(a,b) = (0,1)$ or $(a,b) = (0,0)$ and 0 otherwise so using the previous remark we can see that the formula is true.

Suppose now $n \geq 1$. Then trivially $A^{I_n}_{a,b} = 0$ for $a > n$ or $b > n+1$ and $A^{I_n}_{n,n+1} = 1$ so we only need to look at the case $a \leq n$, $b \leq n+1$ (with no simultaneous equality). Using Theorem 3.2. b) we obtain the recursion

$$A^{I_n}_{a,b} = \sum_{d\in\mathbb{Z}} q^{d(n-1-a-l+d)} G^d_{2a-n+1}(q) A^{I_{n-1}}_{a+l-d,b+l},$$

the sum being finite.

Using Remark 4.4. and the induction hypothesis notice that if $a < n$(and $b \leq n+1$) then

$$A^{I_{n-1}}_{a+l-d,b+l} = A^{I_{n-1}}_{2a-b-d,a} = G^{a-b-d}_{n-a-1}(q) G^a_{2a-b-d+1}(q)$$

so denoting by $u = a-b-d$, using the previous recursion and Proposition 2.2. with the entries $p = a-b$, $m = b$, $\mu = a+1$ and $\nu = n-b$

$$A^{I_n}_{a,b} = \sum_{d\in\mathbb{Z}} q^{d(n-1-a-l+d)} G^d_{2a-n+1}(q) A^{I_{n-1}}_{a+l-d,b+l}$$

$$= \sum_{d\in\mathbb{Z}} q^{d(n-1-2a+b+d)} G^d_{2a-n+1}(q) G^{a-b-d}_{n-a-1}(q) G^a_{2a-b-d+1}(q)$$

$$= \sum_{u\in\mathbb{Z}} q^{(a-b-u)(n-1-a-u)} G^{a-b-u}_{2a-n+1}(q) G^u_{n-a-1}(q) G^a_{a+u+1}(q) = G^{a-b}_{n-b}(q) G^b_{a+1}(q).$$

If now $a = n$ (and $0 \leq b < n+1$) then trivially

$$A^{I_n}_{a,b} = \sum_{[X]} F^{I_n}_{(n+1-b)S_2\ X} = G^{n+1-b}_{n+1}(q) = G^{n-b}_{n-b}(q) G^b_{n+1}(q).$$

If $a = n$ and $b < 0$ then trivially $A^{I_n}_{a,b} = 0$ (see Remark 4.4.). □

Lemma 4.5. *Let $t \in \mathbb{N}^*$, $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $p \in \mathbb{P}^1_k$ of degree 1. Then we have*

a) $A^{R_p(t)}_{a,a} = 1$ *for* $0 \leq a \leq t$.

b) $A^{R_p(t)}_{a,b} = 0$ *for* $0 \leq a < b \leq t$.

c) *For two points $p, p' \in \mathbb{P}^1_k$ of the same degree 1 we have, that* $A^{R_p(t)}_{a,b} = A^{R_{p'}(t)}_{a,b}$.

Proof. a) Suppose $\underline{dim}Y = (a, a)$, $a > 0$ (so the defect is 0) and Y embeds into $R_p(t)$. Then using Lemma 1.1. and the uniseriality of the regulars one can see that Y must be of the form $R_p(t')$ with $0 < t' \leq t$. So it follows that for $0 < a \leq n$, we have $A_{a,a}^{R_p(t)} = F_{R_p(t'')R_p(t')}^{R_p(t)} = 1$. The rest of the statement follows easily.

b) If for $0 \leq a < b \leq t$ $A_{a,b}^{R_p(t)} > 0$ this would mean that there is a module Y of dimension (a, b) which embeds into $R_p(t)$. But $a < b$ means that Y must have a preinjective component. Using Lemma 1.1. one can notice that we can't embed a preinjective into a regular module.

c) Using Lemma 1.1. and the uniseriality of regulars, observe that for $F_{XY}^{R_p(t)} \neq 0$ the modules X, Y can contain at most a single regular direct component which is of the form $R_p(t')$. Permuting the points $\{p \in \mathbb{P}_k^1 | d_p = d\}$ the assertion follows. □

Theorem 4.6. *Let $t \in \mathbb{N}^*$, $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $p \in \mathbb{P}_k^1$ of degree 1. Then we have*

$$A_{a,b}^{R_p(t)} = |Gr_{(a,b)}(R_p(t))| = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } a < 0 \text{ or } b < 0 \\ G_{t-b}^{t-a}(q)G_a^{a-b}(q) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Remark 4.7. Using the definitions and Lemma 2.1. a) notice that $G_{t-b}^{t-a}(q)G_a^{a-b} = 0$ for $a < b$, for $a > t$, for $b > t$ and $G_{t-b}^{t-a}(q)G_a^{a-b} = 1$ for $0 \leq a = b \leq t$.

Proof. Using Remark 4.7. observe that the formula is trivially true whenever $a < 0$ or $b < 0$ or $a > t$ or $b > t$. Also when $b = 0$ and $0 \leq a \leq t$ then trivially

$$A_{a,0}^{R_p(t)} = \sum_{[X]} F_{X\ aS_1}^{R_p(t)} = G_t^a(q) = G_{t-0}^{t-a}(q)G_a^{a-0}(q).$$

Using Lemma 4.5. one can see that the formula is true in the cases $0 \leq a = b \leq t$ and $0 \leq a < b \leq t$.

So we only need to consider the case $0 < b < a \leq t$. Using Theorem 3.2. a) and Lemma 4.5. c) we obtain the recursion

$$A_{a,b}^{R_p(t)} = \sum_{c \in \mathbb{Z}} q^{c(b-l+c)} G_{t-2b}^c(q) A_{a-l,b-l+c}^{R_p(t)},$$

the sum being finite.

We proceed by induction on a. Using the recursion and the considerations above for $a = 2 \leq t$ we have

$$A_{2,1}^{R_p(t)} = \sum_{c \in \mathbb{Z}} q^{c^2} G_{t-2}^c(q) A_{1,c}^{R_p(t)} = G_{t-2}^0 G_t^1 + qG_{t-2}^1 = G_{t-1}^{t-2} G_2^1$$

Let now $3 \leq a \leq t$ and $0 < b < a$. Using Remark 4.7. and the induction hypothesis notice that

$$A_{a-l,b-l+c}^{R_p(t)} = A_{b,2b-a+c}^{R_p(t)} = G_{t-2b+a-c}^{t-b}(q) G_b^{a-b-c}(q)$$

so denoting by $u = a - b - c$, using the previous recursion and Proposition 2.2. with the entries $p = a - b$, $m = t - a$, $\mu = t - b$ and $\nu = a$

$$\begin{aligned} A_{a,b}^{R_p(t)} &= \sum_{c \in \mathbb{Z}} q^{c(b-l+c)} G_{t-2b}^c(q) A_{a-l,b-l+c}^{R_p(t)} \\ &= \sum_{c \in \mathbb{Z}} q^{c(2b-a+c)} G_{t-2b}^c(q) G_{t-2b+a-c}^{t-b}(q) G_b^{a-b-c}(q) \\ &= \sum_{u \in \mathbb{Z}} q^{(a-b-u)(b-u)} G_{t-2b}^{a-b-u}(q) G_{t-b+u}^{t-b}(q) G_b^u(q) = G_{t-b}^{t-a}(q) G_a^{a-b} \end{aligned}$$

□

We can see that in the cases above $|Gr_{\underline{e}}(M)_{\mathbb{F}_q}|$ is an integer polynomial $p_{\underline{e},M}(q)$. Using that $\chi(Gr_{\underline{e}}(M)_{\mathbb{C}}) = p_{\underline{e},M}(1)$ and $G_a^n(1) = \begin{pmatrix} a \\ n \end{pmatrix}$ we obtain

Corollary 4.8. [4]

a) $$\chi(Gr_{(a,b)}(P_n)_{\mathbb{C}}) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } a<0 \text{ or } b<0 \\ 1 & \text{for } a=b=0 \\ \begin{pmatrix} n+1-b \\ n+1-a \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} a-1 \\ a-b-1 \end{pmatrix} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

b) $$\chi(Gr_{(a,b)}(I_n)_{\mathbb{C}}) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } a>n \text{ or } b>n+1 \\ 1 & \text{for } a=n,\ b=n+1 \\ \begin{pmatrix} n-b \\ a-b \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} a+1 \\ b \end{pmatrix} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

c) $$\chi(Gr_{(a,b)}(R_p(t))_{\mathbb{C}}) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } a<0 \text{ or } b<0 \\ \begin{pmatrix} t-b \\ t-a \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} a \\ a-b \end{pmatrix} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Remark 4.9. Notice that there is no closed formula for $A_{a,b}^{R_p(t)}$ with $t \in \mathbb{N}^*$, $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $p \in \mathbb{P}_k^1$ of degree $d_p > 1$. This because $A_{a,a}^{R_p(t)} = 1$ only for $0 \leq a \leq d_p t$ with $d_p | a$. However this case will not appear over $\mathbb{C}$.

5. A recursive algorithm for the cardinalities $A_{a,b}^M = |Gr_{(a,b)}(M)|$ with M arbitrary

Let $M \in$ mod-kK arbitrary and suppose $\underline{dim} M = (m, n)$. We know that up to isomorphism $M = P \oplus R \oplus I$ where P (respectively I,R) is a module with all its indecomposable components preprojective (respectively preinjective, regular). We also know that $A_{a,b}^M = 0$ for $a < 0$ or $b < 0$ or $a > m$ or $b > n$.

Applying the recursion from Theorem 3.2. a) after a finite number of steps $A_{a,b}^{P \oplus R \oplus I}$ is reduced to knowing some numbers of the form $A_{a',b'}^{R' \oplus I'}$. Applying the recursion from Theorem 3.2. b) after a finite number of steps $A_{a',b'}^{R' \oplus I'}$ is reduced to knowing some numbers of the form $A_{a'',b''}^{R''}$. Using the arguments from the proof of Lemma 4.5. b) we can see that $A_{a'',b''}^{R''} = 0$ for $a'' < b''$ so applying the recursion from Theorem 3.2. a) after a finite number of steps $A_{a'',b''}^{R''}$ with $a'' \geq b'' \geq 0$ is reduced to knowing some numbers of the form $A_{a''',a'''}^{R'''}$. (Here "some" means of course "a finite number").

Suppose $R''' = \oplus_{i=1}^m R_{p_i}(\lambda^i)$, where λ^i are partitions, $p_i \in \mathbb{P}_k^1$ different points with degree d_{p_i} and $\sum_{i=1}^m d_{p_i} |\lambda^i| = n$ so $\underline{dim} R''' = (n, n)$. Denote a''' simply by a and suppose $0 \leq a \leq n$. For partitions λ, μ, ν we will denote by $g_{\nu\mu}^{\lambda}(q^{d_p}) = F_{R_p(\nu)R_p(\mu)}^{R_p(\lambda)}$ the classical Hall polynomial (see [6] for details). We know that $g_{\nu\mu}^{\lambda} = g_{\mu\nu}^{\lambda}$ and $g_{\nu\mu}^{\lambda} = 0$ unless $|\lambda| = |\mu| + |\nu|$ and $\mu, \nu \subseteq \lambda$.

Using Lemma 1.1. b) and Lemma 1.4. we have that

$$[\oplus_{i=1}^m R_{p_i}(\nu^i)][\oplus_{i=1}^m R_{p_i}(\mu^i)] = \prod_{i=1}^m [R_{p_i}(\nu^i)][R_{p_i}(\mu^i)]$$

so

$$F_{\oplus_{i=1}^m R_{p_i}(\nu^i)\ \oplus_{i=1}^m R_{p_i}(\mu^i)}^{\oplus_{i=1}^m R_{p_i}(\lambda^i)} = \prod_{i=1}^m F_{R_{p_i}(\nu^i)R_{p_i}(\mu^i)}^{R_{p_i}(\lambda^i)}$$

Using the considerations above and the arguments from the proof of Lemma 4.5. a) we will have

$$A_{a,a}^{R'''} = \sum_{\substack{\nu^i,\,\mu^i \subseteq \lambda^i \\ \sum_{i=1}^m d_{p_i}|\mu^i| = a \\ \sum_{i=1}^m d_{p_i}|\nu^i| = n-a}} F^{\oplus_{i=1}^m R_{p_i}(\lambda^i)}_{\oplus_{i=1}^m R_{p_i}(\nu^i)\ \oplus_{i=1}^m R_{p_i}(\mu^i)} = \sum_{\substack{\nu^i,\,\mu^i \subseteq \lambda^i \\ \sum_{i=1}^m d_{p_i}|\mu^i| = a \\ \sum_{i=1}^m d_{p_i}|\nu^i| = n-a}} \prod_{i=1}^m g^{\lambda^i}_{\nu^i\mu^i}(q^{d_{p_i}})$$

References

[1] *I. Assem, D. Simson, A. Skowronski*, Elements of Representation Theory of Associative Algebras, Volume 1: Techniques of Representation Theory. LMS Student Texts (No. 65) (Cambridge Univ. Press 2006).

[2] *M. Auslander, I. Reiten, S. Smalo*, Representation Theory of Artin Algebras, Cambridge Stud. in Adv. Math. 36 (Cambridge Univ. Press 1995).

[3] *P. Caldero, M. Reineke*, On the quiver Grassmannians in the acyclic case. Preprint arxiv math.RT/0611074

[4] *P. Caldero, A. Zelevinsky*, Laurent expansions in cluster algebras via quiver representations. Moscow Mathematical Journal, Vol. 6, special issue in honor of Alexander Alexandrovich Kirillov on the occasion of his seventieth birthday, (2006), 411-429.

[5] *V. Dlab, C. M. Ringel*, Indecomposable representations of graphs and algebras. AMS Memoirs 173 (1976).

[6] *I. G. Macdonald*, Symmetric Functions and Hall Polynomials. Clarendon Press Oxford 1995.

[7] *T. S. Nanjundiah*, Remark on a note of P. Turán. Am. Monthly 65(1958), 354.

[8] *C. M. Ringel*, Tame algebras and Integral Quadratic Forms. Lect. Notes Math. 1099 (Springer 1984).

[9] *Cs. Szántó*, Hall numbers and the composition algebra of the Kronecker algebra. Algebras and Representation Theory 9,(2006), 465-495.